

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/848,534
Filing Date	May 2, 2001
First Named Inventor	Peter Van Horne
Art Unit	3621
Examiner Name	Calvin L. Hewitt
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number
	CISCO-4667

**ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)**

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee by Credit Card <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary.
<b>Remarks</b>		

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm	THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP		
Signature			
Printed Name	John P. Schaub		
Date	2/27/06	Reg. No.	42,125

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature


Typed or printed name

Beatrice Bailey

Date

2/27/2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary

Application No.: 09/848,534

First Named Applicant: Peter Van Horne, et al.

Examiner: Calvin L. Hewitt II

Art Unit: 3621 Status of Application: Pending

Participants: (1) Examiner Darnell M. Jayne (2) John Schaub, Reg. No. 42,125

(3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: January 17, 2006

Type of Interview:

(a)  Telephonic      (b)  Personal      (c)  Video Conference

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: [ ] YES      [X] NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	N/A
(1) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(2) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(3) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(4) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

[ ] Continuation Sheet Attached      [ ] Copy of Draft Amendment (attached)


**Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:**

John Schaub, Reg. No. 42,125 and Examiner Darnell M. Jayne discussed the Improper Pre-Appeal Request Decision mailed on December 22, 2005. Examiner Jayne indicated that the Request for Pre-Appeal Conference submitted by Applicant on September 15, 2005 was proper and that the Decision mailed 12/22/2005 would be vacated.

**Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record**

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(CISCO-4667)